

AGU Author Peer Review Feedback

The Editors and Publications Committee appreciate your taking the time to complete this survey regarding your experience with the AGU publication process. Your comments will help us to improve AGU journals and our service to authors.

1. To which AGU journal did you submit your manuscript?

- ☐ Earth and Space Science
- ☐ Earth's Future
- ☐ Geochemistry, Geophysics, Geosystems
- ☐ Geophysical Research Letters
- ☐ Global Biogeochemical Cycles
- ☐ Journal of Advances in Modeling Earth Systems
- ☐ JGR Atmospheres
- ☐ JGR Biogeosciences
- ☐ JGR Earth Surface
- ☐ JGR Oceans
- ☐ JGR Planets
- ☐ JGR Solid Earth
- ☐ JGR Space Physics
- ☐ Paleoceanography
- ☐ Radio Science
- ☐ Reviews of Geophysics
- ☐ Space Weather
- ☐ Tectonics
- ☐ Water Resources Research

2. Please choose the rating that most closely matches your opinion about the review process.
Feel free to add comments below.

	Excellent	Good	Neutral	Somewhat dissatisfied	Very dissatisfied
Ease of the submission process	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Contact with the Editor	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Contact with AGU staff	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Speed of the review process	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Reviewers were knowledgeable about the area of my paper:	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Reviewers provided useful, constructive criticism:	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Clarity of submission instructions:	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

Comments

3. What did you like best about the AGU online submission system (GEMS)?

4. What did you find most difficult about the online submission process? What would you most like to change?

5. Considering the entire review process, what do you think is the most important improvement that AGU could make for this journal?

6. Do you expect to submit other papers to this AGU journal?

- ☐ Yes
- ☐ No

7. Please rate your overall satisfaction with the AGU peer review process. Please feel free to add comments below.

- ☐ Extremely satisfied
- ☐ Somewhat satisfied
- ☐ Neutral
- ☐ Somewhat dissatisfied
- ☐ Extremely dissatisfied

Comments:

8. Please provide your e-mail address if you would like AGU staff to follow up with you regarding your comments.

Thank you very much for your help!

Done

Powered by



See how easy it is to [create a survey](#).